

David Friedell

Title: Parked In A Loading Zone

Abstract:

It's natural to think that the semantic content of an elided VP never shifts. It's also natural to think that it is always felicitous for a speaker to anaphorically refer to something that was referred to in an immediately previous sentence. I will argue, however, that at least one of these views is wrong. I won't take a stand on which of them is wrong (or if they are both wrong).